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#### Abstract

This project collected information on the depth and temperatures used by lake trout in Lake Superior through the use of archival tags. Fifteen (15) of 124 lake trout implanted with depth and temperature archival tags were recaptured. Information was recovered from 14 tags. For these 14 lake trout, the number of days between date of release and recapture ranged from 40 to 706 days and averaged 372 days, temperature recordings ranged from $31.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $63.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and averaged $40.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, while depth recordings ranged from the water's surface to 548 feet and averaged 93 feet.
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## INTRODUCTION

The objective of this study was to collect more precise and more continuous information on the depth and temperature used by lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush namaycush) in Lake Superior through the application of archival tags (Lotek 2001). The use of archival tags to obtain more accurate and complete data for temperatures and depths occupied by lake trout over a longer period of time, offers another tool to fishery managers and researchers for understanding behavior and refining models.

Previous information on depths and temperatures used by lake trout was derived largely from agency assessment surveys and harvest reports. These data for specific locations and dates of fishing when viewed across seasons and years, provided insight into lake trout behavior. For example, depth data indicated that, in general, siscowet (Salvelinus namaycush siscowet) occupied waters greater than 240 feet while lake trout occupied waters less than 240 feet. Also, these depth data indicated that hatchery and native lake trout behaved differently, as evidenced by dissimilar fishing selectivity patterns (Linton 2002) and differing spawning success rates (Hansen et al. 1995).

Temperature data are important when developing lake trout models. For example, the Pauly equation (Pauly 1980) is used to determine the initial natural mortality parameter in lake trout stock assessment models for Michigan waters of Lake Superior. When calibrating this equation, which relates natural mortality to growth parameters and water temperature, the mean annual temperature encountered by lake trout is assumed to be $41^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Bence 2002 and 2003). In contrast, insufficient thermal habitat use data for lake trout led Johnson et. al (2001) to abandon their bioenergetics model for Lake Superior.

## METHODS

## Lake Trout Collection and Tagging

Lake trout were collected for tagging in fall 2001 and 2002 during spawning assessment surveys of lake trout stocks at Buffalo Reef and Presque Isle Reef and during harvest monitoring at Traverse Point (Figure 1, Table 1). Fish were transported to shore in an aerated water filled horse tank where they were held for tagging. Prior to tagging fish were anaesthetized then transferred to an operating table with a water recirculating system for archival tag insertion and suturing (Bergstedt et al. 2003). In addition to the archival tag, fish received a floy tag imprinted with the wording " $\$ 100$ Reward for Fish + Internal Tag, GLIFWC POB 9 Odanah WI". Biological information collected included length, weight, sex, and lamprey scaring. Fish were then held for recovery in an aerated holding tank for approximately 12 hours. All lake trout that appeared healthy and capable of surviving were released at either Big Traverse Bay or-Marquette Bay one day after capture (Figure 1). Seven percent of the lake trout (9 fish) died within the initial 12 hours as a result of tagging. Archival tags from these fish were removed and placed in other fish.

Methods for recapture of tagged lake trout included agency assessment fishing, commercial fishing, and sport fishing. Location of harvest, date, and depth were collected upon recapture. When possible, biological data were collected from recaptured fish. Temperature and depth information were downloaded from archival tags into a database.

## Description of Archival Tag Data

The archival tags used in this study (Lotek 2001) record atmospheric pressure in pounds per square inch (psi) and temperature in degrees Celsius $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Atmospheric pressure was converted to depth using the conversion $1 \mathrm{psi}=0.703$ meters. The tags record psi and temperature using "Time-Extension Recording(TM)" which starts recording with a sampling interval of $1 / 256$ hour or about every 14 seconds. When memory is full the tag compresses the data, doubles the sampling interval, and then continues recording. Recording continues in this manner until the optimal "maximum sampling interval" is reached. Because of the manner in which data are recorded, the resulting data for each fish has three sets of time intervals of readings. The time interval for the first and third sets are the same, and these readings were available every 30 minutes for eight of the 14 fish. However, for fish that spent a longer time at large, readings for the first and third sets were available every 60 minutes ( 1 fish); for fish that spent a shorter time at large readings were every 3.75 minutes ( 5 fish). For all fish, readings for the second time interval were taken at half the intervals of the first and third sets, so that these readings were available every 15 minutes for most fish, and ranged from every 30 minutes for fish at large a long time, to every 1.88 minutes for fish at large a short time.

## Analysis of Archival Tag Data

Archival tag data were converted to depth in feet and temperature in degrees Fahrenheit for each of 14 individual fish. Afterward, data were analyzed to determine if differences existed between the temperature and depth profiles of hatchery fish and native fish by season. Seasons were defined as winter (December through March), spring (April through June), summer (July through September), and fall (October and November), and depth categories were defined as 0120 feet, 120-240 feet, 240-360 feet, 360-480 feet, and 480-600 feet (Ebener 2001).

Because of the nature of the differing time intervals used to record the data as described above, the use of simple counts of readings at different depth or temperature categories may provide misleading results if a change in the behavior of a fish happened to coincide with a change in the frequency of the available readings. Therefore, estimated percentages of times at different depth or temperature categories were determined by finding the percentages of times at the different depth or temperature categories for each fish for each set of frequencies of readings and season. These were then expanded to the estimated amount of time spent in each depth or temperature category. These estimates were summed over seasons and divided by the total amount of time for which readings were available. This resulted in estimates of percentages of times spent in the different depth and temperature categories that were not affected by changes in time interval at which data were recorded for each tag.

A mixed model analysis was conducted using SAS software to determine whether there were statistically significant differences in the depths observed for hatchery and native fish. The source of the fish, whether from a hatchery or native, was treated as a fixed effect, and the recaptured fish were treated as random samples from the population. This implied that results of the analysis were applicable to the entire population of fish rather than being restricted to the sampled fish.

Since the depth readings were taken at times that were relatively close together, the assumption of independence from one reading to the next was violated. Therefore, the mixed model analysis was conducted on a dataset composed of the mean depth reading for each fish for each day in order to make the assumption of independence of observations more realistic. A simple components of variance model was used for each season, which assumed that all observations from the same fish had the same covariance, and observations from different fish were uncorrelated (Neter et al., 1996).

## RESULTS

## Lake Trout Collection and Tagging

A total of 124 lake trout captured from Buffalo Reef (56 fish) and Traverse Point (23 fish) in Management Unit MI-4, and from Presque Isle Reef ( 45 fish) in MI- 5 were implanted with depth/temperature archival tags (Table 1). These fish were released at Big Traverse Bay in 2001 ( 55 fish) and 2002 (24 fish) and at Marquette Harbor in 2001 ( 45 fish) (Figure 1).

Tagged lake trout averaged 26.9 inches and 6.3 pounds (Tables 1 and 2). Of the fish tagged $68 \%$ ( 77 fish) were of native origin and $32 \%$ ( 47 fish) of hatchery origin (Table 3). Seventyeight percent ( $78 \%$ ) ( 97 fish) were male, $12 \%$ ( 15 fish) female, and $10 \%$ ( 12 fish) unknown sex (Table 4). Lamprey marking rates for the 124 lake trout released were 2 wounds and 54 scars/100 fish.

## Recapture Information

Fifteen (15) lake trout implanted with depth/temperature archival tags were recaptured and information was recovered from 14 tags (Figure 2, Table 5). Length obtained from 12 recaptured lake trout averaged 26.7 inches and weight from 11 recaptured lake trout averaged 5.8 pounds (Table 5). Of the 15 recaptured fish seven ( $47 \%$ ) were of native origin and eight ( $53 \%$ ) were of hatchery origin (Table 5). There were twelve male, one female, and two unknown sex lake trout recaptured (Table 5). Recaptured lake trout grew an average of 0.1 inch and lost an average of 0.3 pounds. Lamprey marking rates were not recorded for the 15 recaptured lake trout. For the 14 tags from which information was recovered, days at large averaged 372 (range: 40 to 706 days), temperature averaged $40.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (range: $31.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $63.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ), and depth averaged 93.1 feet (range: water's surface to 548.1 feet) (Table 6).

## Archival Tag Data

Average daily temperature and depth data for individual lake trout are presented in Figures 316, which summarize the continuous data records of time, temperature, and depth (atmospheric pressure). From these data the percentage of time spent at various depths and temperatures during different seasons was determined for each fish (Tables 7 and 8). On average fish spent between $72 \%$ and $83 \%$ of the time in waters between 0 and 120 feet by season and between $17 \%$ and $28 \%$ of the time in waters between 120 and 240 feet by season. One percent or less of the time in any season was spent in waters greater than 240 feet. Overall, at least one fish spent some time in all depth categories during each season except $480+$ feet in the summer season.

Lake trout temperature followed the seasonal pattern of water temperature changes within the lake. At times of the year when the lake was not thermally stratified lake trout temperature varied little. When the lake was thermally stratified and more water temperatures were available, lake trout temperature was more variable.

Results of the components of variance model for each season showed statistically significant differences between hatchery and native fish in winter, spring, and summer at the $\alpha=0.05$ level,
with native fish inhabiting greater depths than hatchery fish (Table 9). The difference in depths for the fall season was not statistically significant. Most hatchery fish spent between $75 \%-100 \%$ of the time in water 0 to 120 feet (Figures 3-8 and Table 7). The exception was hatchery fish number 275 (Figure 9) which spent only $47 \%-73 \%$ of the time by season in water 0 to 120 feet, with $27 \%$ to $53 \%$ of its time spent in water 120 to 240 feet. Very little time, $1 \%$ or less, was spent in water greater than 240 feet in any season by hatchery fish. For native fish, five spent $50 \%-100 \%$ of the time in water 0 to 120 feet (Figures $10-14$ and Table 7), while two native fish, numbers 701 and 729 , spent $32 \%$ to $98 \%$ of the time in water 120 to 240 feet (Figures 15 and 16). With the exception of fish number 729 which spent between $2 \%$ and $17 \%$ of its time by season in water 240 to 360 feet, $2 \%$ or less time was spent in water greater than 240 feet in any season by native fish.

## Discussion

The archival tag data collected during this study indicated that lake trout live inshore and spend the majority of their time in waters less than 240 feet deep. The extent to which depth distribution overlaps with siscowet is unknown in the absence of similar continuous depth distribution data on siscowet. However, these data do indicate that on average very little time ( $<1 \%$ ) is spent in waters greater than 240 feet, which is considered siscowet habitat.

There were significant differences in the depth distribution of native and hatchery fish in all seasons except fall. These significant differences coupled with the fact that the majority of fishing is in waters less than 120 feet may explain why catchability of hatchery lake trout is higher than native lake trout (Bence and Weeks 1995, WSTTC 1995). The difference in catchability for hatchery and native lake trout is one factor which has led to the use of different stock assessment models for each. The similarity in the fall depth distribution patterns between hatchery and native fish is associated with the movement of fish onto relatively shallow reefs ( $<50$ feet) to spawn.

Finally, the average seasonal temperature at which recaptured lake trout in this study occurred was $40.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. This is similar to the average annual temperature of $41^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ assumed in calibrating the Pauly equation used to set the initial natural mortality rate for stock assessment models in Michigan management units of Lake Superior.
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Figure 1. Location of sampling and release for 124 lake trout implanted with internal depth/temperature archival tags in Lake Superior.


Figure 2. Location of recapture for 15 of 124 lake trout implanted with internal depth/temperature archival tags in Lake Superior.


Figure 3. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 037.


Figure 4. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 242.


Figure 5. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 248.


Figure 6. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 321.


Figure 7. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 532.


Figure 8. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 731.


Figure 9. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 275.


Figure 10. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 249.


Figure 11. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 508.


Figure 12. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 767.


Figure 13. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 777.


Figure 14. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 790.


Figure 15. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 701.


Figure 16. Depth (blue line) and temperature (green line) by season for lake trout with tag number 729.

Table 1. Capture, release, and biological data for 124 lake trout implanted with depth/temperature archival tags.

| Unit of | Grid of | Location of | Location of | Date of | Internal | Origin | Length | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Release | Release | Release | Capture | Capture* | Tag Number | (H=hatchery, N=native) | Sex | (inches) |
| (pounds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Continued.

| Unit of Release | Grid of <br> Release | Location of Release | Location of Capture | Date of Capture | Internal Tag Number | Origin <br> ( $\mathrm{H}=$ hatchery, $\mathrm{N}=$ native) | Sex | Length (inches) | Weight (pounds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Buffalo Reef | 10/31/02 | 820 | N | Male | 24.3 | 4.2 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Buffalo Reef | 10/31/02 | 849 | N | Male | 25.6 | 5.7 |
| M1-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Buffalo Reef | 10/31/02 | 996 | N | Male | 27.3 |  |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Buffalo Reef | 10/31/02 | 1400 | N | Male | 26.9 | 5.8 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Buffalo Reef | 10/31/02 | 47 | N | Unknown | 38.6 | 20.2 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Buffalo Reef | 10/31/02 | 533 | N | Unknown | 23.0 |  |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Buffalo Reef | 10/31/02 | 889 | N | Unknown | 24.8 | 4.8 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 514 | H | Female | 32.4 | 11.3 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 223 | H | Male | 25.4 | 4.8 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 248** | H | Male | 28.2 | 8.0 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 271 | H | Male | 30.2 | 8.8 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 273 | H | Male | 24.4 | 4.2 |
| M1-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 280 | H | Male | 26.0 | 4.5 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 300 | H | Male | 32.7 | 11.6 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 292 | H | Unknown | 23.3 | 3.2 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 731** | H | Unknown | 28.4 | 6.9 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 291 | N | Female | 29.8 | 9.1 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 797 | N | Female | 23.5 | 4.0 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | not recorded | N | Male | 28.0 | 6.6 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 216 | N | Male | 28.9 | 6.7 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 244 | N | Male | 25.4 | 5.2 |
| M1-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 272 | N | Male | 24.6 | 4.9 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 283 | N | Male | 24.2 | 4.1 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 768 | N | Male | 27.5 | 5.7 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 769 | N | Male | 26.9 | 5.7 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 777** | N | Male | 23.3 | 3.5 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 787 | N | Male | 29.4 | 8.1 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 799 | N | Male | 28.5 | 5.8 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 245 | N | Unknown | 26.2 | 5.3 |
| MI-4 | 1125 | Big Traverse Bay | Traverse Point | 10/31/01 | 508** | N | Unknown | 23.7 | 4.0 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 242** | H | Female | 32.6 | 11.3 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 266 | H | Female | 25.4 | 4.6 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 219 | H | Male | 26.2 | 5.3 |
| M1-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 229** | H | Male | 25.6 | 4.5 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 240 | H | Male | 25.7 | 4.7 |
| M1-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 246 | H | Male | 25.8 | 5.4 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 279 | H | Male | 24.4 | 4.3 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 294 | H | Male | 27.3 | 6.0 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 297 | H | Male | 26.1 | 4.8 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 513 | H | Male | 25.3 | 4.4 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 727 | H | Male | 25.8 | 4.2 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 778 | H | Male | 23.2 | 3.7 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 780 | H | Male | 23.3 | 3.8 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 796 | H | Male | 23.4 | 3.6 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 274 | H | Unknown | 33.8 | 12.9 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 287 | H | Unknown | 24.0 | 4.0 |
| M1-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 234 | N | Female | 15.8 | 4.7 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 265 | N | Female | 34.9 | 14.2 |
| MI-5 | 1529 | Marquette | Presque Isle Reef | 11/06/01 | 290 | N | Female | 26.5 | 6.1 |

Table 1. Concluded.

| Unit of <br> Release | Grid of <br> Release | Location of <br> Release | Location of <br> Capture | Date of <br> Capture | Internal <br> Tag Number | Origin <br> (H=hatchery, $\mathrm{N}=$ native) | Length <br> Sex | Weight <br> (inches) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (pounds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Fish were released the day after capture.
** Indicates recaptured fish. Data were retrieved except for fish number 229.

Table 2. Length and weight data at capture by location for 124 lake trout implanted with depth/temperature archival tags.

| Month and Year of Capture | Capture <br> Location | Length (inches) |  |  |  | Weight (pounds) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | number | avg. | min | - max | number | avg. | min | - | max |
| October 2001 | Traverse Point | 23 | 27.0 | 23.3 | - 32.7 | 23 | 6.2 | 3.2 | - | 11.6 |
| November 2001 | Buffalo Reef | 32 | 26.8 | 23.3 | - 35.0 | 32 | 5.9 | 3.7 | - | 13.1 |
|  | Presque Isle Reef | 45 | 27.0 | 15.8 | - 35.8 | 45 | 6.4 | 3.6 | - | 14.5 |
| October 2002 | Buffalo Reef | 24 | 26.8 | 17.9 | - 38.6 | 20 | 6.9 | 3.4 | - | 20.2 |
|  | Total: | 124 |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall average: |  | 26.9 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |
|  | Overall range: |  |  | 15.8 | - 38.6 |  |  | 3.2 | - | 20.2 |

Table 3. Length and weight data by origin (hatchery or native) and capture location for 124 lake trout implanted with depth/temperature archival tags.

| Date | Location | Origin | Length (inches) |  |  |  | Weight (pounds) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | number | avg | min | - max | number | avg | min | - max |
| Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 31, 2001 | Traverse Point |  | 9 | 27.9 | 23.3 | - 32.7 | 9 | 7.0 | 3.2 | - 11.6 |
| November 01, 2001 | Buffalo Reef |  | 10 | 26.1 | 23.3 | - 29.2 | 10 | 5.2 | 3.8 | - 9.3 |
| November 06, 2001 | Presque Isle Reef |  | 16 | 26.1 | 23.2 | - 33.8 | 16 | 5.5 | 3.6 | - 12.9 |
| October 31, 2002 | Buffalo Reef |  | 12 | 26.2 | 17.9 | - 29.6 | 10 | 6.3 | 3.4 | - 8.2 |
|  | Subtotal: |  | 47 | 26.5 | 17.9 | - 33.8 | 45 | 5.9 | 3.2 | - 12.9 |
| Native |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 31, 2001 | Traverse Point |  | 14 | 26.4 | 23.3 | - 29.8 | 14 | 5.6 | 3.5 | - 9.1 |
| November 01, 2001 | Buffalo Reef |  | 22 | 27.2 | 24.2 | - 35.0 | 22 | 6.2 | 3.7 | - 13.1 |
| November 06, 2001 | Presque Isle Reef |  | 29 | 27.5 | 15.8 | - 35.8 | 29 | 6.9 | 3.6 | - 14.5 |
| October 31, 2002 | Buffalo Reef |  | 12 | 27.4 | 23.0 | - 38.6 | 10 | 7.5 | 3.5 | - 20.2 |
|  | Subtotal: |  | 77 | 27.2 | 15.8 | - 38.6 | 75 | 6.5 | 3.5 | - 20.2 |
|  | Total: |  | 124 |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |
|  | Overall average: |  |  | 26.9 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  |  |
|  | Overall range: |  |  |  | 15.8 | - 38.6 |  |  | 3.2 | - 20.2 |

Table 4. Length and weight data by sex (female, male, or unknown) and capture location for 124 lake trout implanted with depth/temperature archival tags.

| Date of Capture | Capture <br> Location | Sex | Length (inches) |  |  |  | Weight (pound) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | number | avg | min | - max | number | avg | min | - max |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 2001 | Traverse Point |  | 3 | 28.6 | 23.5 | - 32.4 | 3 | 8.1 | 4.0 | - 11.3 |
| November 2001 | Buffalo Reef |  | 5 | 28.8 | 25.2 | - 35.0 | 5 | 8.2 | 4.1 | - 13.1 |
| November 2001 | Presque Isle Reef |  | 6 | 26.9 | 15.8 | - 34.9 | 6 | 7.7 | 4.6 | - 14.2 |
| October 2002 | Buffalo Reef |  | 1 | 32.8 | 32.8 | - 32.8 | 1 | 12.9 | 12.9 | - 12.9 |
|  | Subtotal: |  | 15 | 28.3 | 15.8 | - 35.0 | 15 | 8.3 | 4.0 | - 14.2 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 2001 | Traverse Point |  | 16 | 27.1 | 23.3 | - 32.7 | 16 | 6.1 | 3.5 | - 11.6 |
| November 2001 | Buffalo Reef |  | 27 | 26.5 | 23.3 | - 32.2 | 27 | 5.4 | 3.7 | - 11.1 |
| November 2001 | Presque Isle Reef |  | 37 | 27.0 | 23.1 | - 35.8 | 37 | 6.1 | 3.6 | - 14.5 |
| October 2002 | Buffalo Reef |  | 17 | 26.9 | 23.4 | - 29.6 | 16 | 6.1 | 3.5 | - 8.2 |
|  | Subtotal: |  | 97 | 26.8 | 23.1 | - 35.8 | 96 | 5.9 | 3.5 | - 14.5 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 2001 | Traverse Point |  | 4 | 25.4 | 23.3 | - 28.4 | 4 | 4.9 | 3.2 | - 6.9 |
| November 2001 | Presque Isle Reef |  | 2 | 28.9 | 24.0 | - 33.8 | 2 | 8.4 | 4.0 | - 12.9 |
| October 2002 | Buffalo Reef |  | 6 | 25.4 | 17.9 | - 38.6 | 3 | 9.5 | 3.4 | - 20.2 |
|  | Subtotal: |  | 12 | 26.0 | 17.9 | - 38.6 | 9 | 7.2 | 3.2 | - 20.2 |
|  | Total: |  | 124 |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |
|  | Overall average: |  |  | 26.9 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  |  |
|  | Overall range: |  |  |  | 15.8 | - 38.6 |  |  | 3.2 | - 20.2 |

Table 5. Capture, recapture, and growth information for 15 of 124 lake trout implanted with depth/temperature archival tags and recaptured.

| Tag number | Recapture <br> date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days } \\ \text { at Large* } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Origin <br> $\mathrm{H}=$ hatchery <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ native | Sex | Length (inches) |  |  | Weight (pounds) |  |  | Recapture <br> Location | Recapture Grid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | at recapture | at tagging | change | at recapture | at tagging | change |  |  |
| 37 | 11/06/03 | 370 | H | Male |  | 26.5 |  |  | 6.0 |  | BUFFALO REEF | 1125 |
| 229** | 10/27/03 | 719 | H | Male | 26.5 | 25.6 | 0.9 |  | 4.5 |  | LITTLE PRESQUE ISLE | 1529 |
| 242 | 06/29/02 | 234 | H | Female | 31.9 | 32.6 | -0.7 | 11.1 | 11.3 | -0.3 | MARQUETTE HARBOR | 1529 |
| 248 | 10/15/02 | 348 | H | Male | 27.5 | 28.2 | -0.7 | 7.5 | 8.0 | -0.5 | TRAVERSE POINT | 1224 |
| 249 | 12/15/02 | 408 | N | Male | 25.2 | 25.2 | 0 | 4.4 | 4.5 | -0.1 | TRAVERSE BAY | 1224 |
| 275 | 10/09/02 | 341 | H | Male | 26.1 | 26.2 | -0.1 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 0.6 | GAY POINT | 1125 |
| 321 | 10/11/03 | 344 | H | Male | 27.8 | 27.1 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 1.2 | TRAVERSE POINT | 1224 |
| 508 | 08/29/02 | 301 | N | Unknown | 24.0 | 23.7 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 | -0.2 | SHELTER BAY | 1531 |
| 532 | 08/01/03 | 273 | H | Male | 29.2 | 28.9 | 0.3 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 0.8 | KEWEENAW POINT | 1027 |
| 701 | 03/13/03 | 496 | N | Male | 28.1 | 27.0 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 5.4 | -1.7 | KEWEENAW BAY | 1323 |
| 729 | 10/14/03 | 706 | N | Male | 28.0 | 27.9 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 6.6 | -2.6 | MARQUETTE UPPER HARBOR | 1529 |
| 731 | 05/31/03 | 576 | H | Unknown |  | 28.4 |  |  | 6.9 |  | OFF AMNICON RIVER | 1403 |
| 767 | 01/30/02 | 89 | N | Male |  | 26.9 |  |  | 6.2 |  | GAY POINT | 1125 |
| 777 | 12/11/01 | 40 | N | Male | 22.0 | 23.3 | -1.3 | 1.6 | 3.5 | -1.9 | PANCAKE ISLAND | 1345 |
| 790 | 05/21/03 | 560 | N | Male | 24.0 | 23.8 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 1.0 | MARQUETTE | 1529 |
|  |  |  |  | Averages:^ | 26.7 | 26.8 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 6.0 | -0.3 |  |  |

* Fish were released the day after capture and tagging.
** Data were not retrieved.
${ }^{\wedge}$ Average length and weight at tagging calculated only for recaptured fish with length or weight data.

Table 6. Depth and temperature data for 14 lake trout recaptured with depth/temperature archival tags.

| Tag | Days at | Depth (feet) |  |  |  | Temperature (Farenheit) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number | large | average | min | - | max |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 370 | 70.5 | 227.0 | - | 19.7 | 41.1 | 32.0 | - | 58.6 |
| 242 | 234 | 109.4 | 197.5 | - | 0.4 | 36.9 | 31.8 | - | 46.5 |
| 248 | 348 | 58.4 | 237.9 | - | -0.6 | 40.8 | 31.8 | - | 56.7 |
| 249 | 408 | 91.4 | 369.5 | - | -0.9 | 41.0 | 32.0 | - | 60.0 |
| 275 | 341 | 105.7 | 308.7 | - | 3.0 | 41.5 | 32.0 | - | 63.0 |
| 321 | 344 | 55.5 | 418.4 | - | 5.5 | 41.5 | 32.0 | - | 63.0 |
| 508 | 301 | 103.7 | 303.0 | - | 5.6 | 39.2 | 31.8 | - | 60.6 |
| 532 | 273 | 77.1 | 215.4 | - | 24.1 | 36.9 | 31.6 | - | 53.0 |
| 701 | 496 | 166.1 | 403.3 | - | 11.9 | 40.1 | 31.8 | - | 57.2 |
| 729 | 706 | 168.5 | 501.1 | - |  | 39.8 | 32.0 | - | 58.2 |
| 731 | 576 | 59.7 | 548.1 | - |  | 41.2 | 32.2 | - | 62.3 |
| 767 | 89 | 57.7 | 267.4 | - | -4.0 | 42.5 | 35.0 | - | 47.8 |
| 777 | 40 | 66.6 | 283.8 | - |  | 44.6 | 41.7 | - | 47.5 |
| 790 | 560 | 103.1 | 278.6 | - | 6.6 | 39.6 | 32.0 | - | 60.5 |
| Average: | 372 | 93.1 |  |  |  | 40.4 |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { Overall range: }}$ |  | 548.1 |  | - -4.0 |  |  | 31.6 | - | 63.0 |

Table 7. Percentage of time spent in five depth ranges by season by 14 recaptured lake trout.

|  |  |  | Depth in Feet |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin | Tag | Season | 0 to 120 | 120 to 240 | 240 to 360 | 360 to 480 | 480+ |
| Hatchery | 37 | Fall | 1.00 | TR* | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 83 | . 17 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 96 | . 04 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 242 | Fall | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring Summer | . 78 | . 22 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 86 | . 14 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 248 | Fall | . 77 | . 23 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 75 | . 25 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | 1.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 275 | Fall | . 71 | . 29 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | . 70 | . 30 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 73 | . 27 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 47 | . 53 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 321 | Fall | . 84 | . 16 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | 1.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 90 | . 09 | TR | TR | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 532 | Fall | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | . 99 | . 01 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 731 | Fall | . 99 | . 01 | . 00 | . 00 | TR |
|  |  | Spring | 1.00 | TR | . 00 | TR | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 83 | . 16 | . 01 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | 0.99 | 0.01 | TR | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Means | Fall | 0.90 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | TR |
|  |  | Spring | 0.92 | 0.08 | 0.00 | TR | 0.00 |
|  |  | Summer | 0.84 | 0.16 | TR | TR | 0.00 |
|  |  | Winter | 0.90 | 0.10 | TR | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Native | 249 | Fall | . 76 | . 23 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | . 83 | . 17 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 50 | . 50 | TR | TR | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 96 | . 04 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 508 | Fall | . 92 | . 08 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | . 94 | . 06 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 92 | . 08 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 94 | . 06 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 701 | Fall | . 27 | . 71 | . 02 | TR | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | TR | . 98 | . 01 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 17 | . 82 | . 01 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 02 | . 96 | . 02 | TR | . 00 |
|  | 729 | Fall | . 45 | . 49 | . 05 | . 01 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | TR | . 94 | . 05 | . 01 | TR |
|  |  | Summer | . 66 | . 32 | . 02 | TR | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | TR | . 81 | . 17 | . 02 | TR |
|  | 767 | Fall | 1.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring <br> Summer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Winter | . 68 | . 32 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 777 | Fall | . 95 | . 05 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Summer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Winter | . 51 | . 49 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 790 | Fall | . 90 | . 10 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | . 95 | . 05 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 59 | . 41 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 81 | . 19 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | Means | Fall | 0.75 | 0.24 | 0.01 | TR | 0.00 |
|  |  | Spring | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.01 | TR | TR |
|  |  | Summer | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.01 | TR | 0.00 |
|  |  | Winter | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.03 | TR | TR |
| ALL |  | Fall | . 83 | . 17 | . 01 | TR | TR |
|  |  | Spring | . 76 | . 23 | . 01 | TR | TR |
|  |  | Summer | . 72 | . 28 | TR | TR | . 00 |
|  |  | Winter | . 73 | . 26 | . 01 | TR | TR |

*TR= Trace or less than 0.005 .

Table 8. Percentage of time spent in five temperature ranges by season by 14 recaptured trout.

| Origin | Tag | Season | Temperature in Degrees Fahrenheit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | <35 | 35 to 40 | 40 to 45 | 45 to 50 | 50+ |
| Hatchery | 37 | Fall | . 00 | TR* | . 41 | . 35 | . 24 |
|  |  | Spring | . 38 | . 18 | . 09 | . 20 | . 14 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | TR | . 21 | . 47 | . 32 |
|  |  | Winter | . 65 | . 34 | . 01 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 242 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 20 | . 80 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring Summer | . 16 | . 59 | . 24 | TR |  |
|  |  | Winter | . 48 | . 32 | . 20 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 248 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 16 | . 78 | . 06 |
|  |  | Spring | . 14 | . 47 | . 29 | . 10 | TR |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 01 | . 41 | . 54 | . 04 |
|  |  | Winter | . 47 | . 30 | . 23 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 275 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 10 | . 76 | . 14 |
|  |  | Spring | . 16 | . 51 | . 24 | . 08 | . 01 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 01 | . 25 | . 33 | . 41 |
|  |  | Winter | . 37 | . 40 | . 23 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 321 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 49 | . 36 | . 15 |
|  |  | Spring | . 17 | . 22 | . 25 | . 23 | . 13 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 03 | . 22 | . 37 | . 39 |
|  |  | Winter | . 55 | . 41 | . 04 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 532 | Fall | . 00 | . 01 | . 90 | . 10 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | . 28 | . 40 | . 22 | . 08 | . 01 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 00 | . 25 | . 61 | . 14 |
|  |  | Winter | . 71 | . 29 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 731 | Fall | . 00 | . 13 | . 45 | . 40 | . 02 |
|  |  | Spring | . 27 | . 36 | . 22 | . 13 | . 01 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 02 | . 26 | . 44 | . 28 |
|  |  | Winter | . 42 | . 45 | . 13 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Native | 249 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 46 | . 53 | . 02 |
|  |  | Spring | . 25 | . 62 | . 08 | . 03 | . 04 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 00 | . 29 | . 43 | . 28 |
|  |  | Winter | . 40 | . 36 | . 24 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 508 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 13 | . 87 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring | . 15 | . 57 | . 27 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 01 | . 50 | . 24 | . 25 |
|  |  | Winter | . 47 | . 33 | . 21 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 701 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 48 | . 48 | . 04 |
|  |  | Spring | . 20 | . 77 | . 03 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 11 | . 49 | . 25 | . 15 |
|  |  | Winter | . 42 | . 40 | . 18 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 729 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 26 | . 45 | . 29 |
|  |  | Spring | . 30 | . 70 | TR | . 00 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 20 | . 19 | . 37 | . 24 |
|  |  | Winter | . 41 | . 45 | . 14 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 767 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 04 | . 96 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Summer Winter | . 00 | 51 | 49 | TR | 00 |
|  | 777 | Fall | . 00 | . 00 | . 43 | . 57 | . 00 |
|  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Summer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Winter | . 00 | . 00 | 1.00 | . 00 | . 00 |
|  | 790 | Fall | . 00 | . 02 | . 26 | . 48 | . 24 |
|  |  | Spring | . 32 | . 46 | . 21 | . 01 | . 00 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 00 | . 53 | . 34 | . 13 |
|  |  | Winter | . 52 | . 37 | . 11 | . 00 | . 00 |
| All |  | Fall | . 00 | . 01 | . 34 | . 56 | . 09 |
|  |  | Spring | . 23 | . 49 | . 18 | . 07 | . 03 |
|  |  | Summer | . 00 | . 04 | . 33 | . 40 | . 24 |
|  |  | Winter | . 42 | . 35 | . 23 | TR | . 00 |

*TR= Trace or less than 0.005 .

Table 9. Results of mixed model analysis, with hatchery/native as fixed effect, and tagnumber (individual fish) as random effect.

| Season | Hatchery/Native Effect (fixed)Degrees of Freedom |  |  |  | Least Squares Means (feet) |  |  |  | Covariance Parameter <br> Estimates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numerator | Denominator | F Value | P Value | Hatchery | Native | Hatchery | Native | Tag Number | Residual |
| Winter | 1 | 1991 | 4.35 | 0.04 | 78.0 | 125.9 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 1842 | 433 |
| Spring | 1 | 1282 | 11.20 | 0.00 | 65.8 | 134.5 | 13.3 | 15.7 | 1225 | 402 |
| Summer | 1 | 1001 | 6.71 | 0.01 | 80.1 | 112.6 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 414 | 1283 |
| Fall | 1 | 775 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 70.7 | 82.7 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 1254 | 1729 |
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